Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY	Operator ID#: 32513
Inspection Date(s): 8/28/2012, 8/29/2012, 8/30/2012	Man Days: 3
Inspection Unit: Jacksonville	
Location of Audit: Jacksonville	
Exit Meeting Contact: Rodger Camerer	
Inspection Type: Standard Inspection - Field Audit	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Donald Hankins	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Michael Fuller	
Company Representative's Email Address: mfuller2@ameren.com	

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION			
Location of Construction:		Construction Performed By:	
Virginia High School		Construction was performed by an Ameren Illinois crew.	
Contractor Foreman:	There is no contractor or	There is no contractor on this job site.	
Operator Inspector:	Bob Roth, Quality Assur	Bob Roth, Quality Assurance Specialist	
	Person(s) On	Job Site	

Description of Construction:

An Ameren Illinois gas crew was replacing a plastic service line to the Virginia High School. The new service line was a 2" IPS Driscoplex gas pipe.

SERVICE INSTALLATION		Status
192.55	Steel	No
General Comment:	·	•
The service line is not a steel line.		
192.59	PE	Yes
General Comment:	•	<u> </u>
2" IPS Driscoplex 6500 gas, PE 240	6/2708 CEE, ASTM D2513	
192.59	Other	No

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/8-30-2012

Page 1 of 6

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

General Comment:		
No other pipe was installed.		
192.619,192.621,192.623	MAOP	Not Checked
General Comment:		
The MAOP of this system is 60#.		
192.5	Class location :	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This is a class 2 location.		
192.53	Are components qualified for use?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
All components were qualified for use.		
192.63(a)(2)	Pipe Size :	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The pipe size was 2".		
192.55,192.59	Specification :	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
PE 2406/2708		
192.55,192.59	Manufacturer :	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Driscoplex Pipe is the manufacture.		
192.55,192.59	Pipe Grade :	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
ASTM D 2513		
192.55,192.59	Wall Thickness :	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Wall thickness was DR 11.0		
192.63	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
All plastic pipe fittings were properly marked.		
192.227	Welder qualification date:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/8-30-2012

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

There were two welders on the job and they both were	qualified till 7-11-12.	
192.227	Welder's Name:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Stan Fanning and Scott Grogan		
192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.283	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Pipe was joined by approved written procedures.		
192.285	Date of Qualification :	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No joining of plastic pipe at the time of the inspection.		
192.285	Joiner's name:	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No joining of plastic pipe at the time of the inspection.		
192.455	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No buried metallic pipe on this job.		
192.455(a)(1)	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Cathodic protection is not being provided, this is a plas	atic line.	
192.455(a)(1)	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	No
General Comment:		
Cathodic protection is not being provided, this is a plas	atic line.	
192.455(a)(1)	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
General Comment:		
Cathodic protection is not being provided, this is a plas	stic line.	
192.461	Does coating meet 192.461?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This pipe is not steel.		
192.461(c)	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This is not a steel line, no coating was inspected.		

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Seneral Comment: Io above ground facilities were inspected at the time of 92.467 Seneral Comment: Io other underground metallic structures are in this an	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable
92.467	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable
eneral Comment:	metallic structures?	Not Applicable
	ea.	
o other underground metallic structures are in this are	ea.	
92.319	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
eneral Comment:		
he pipe was installed to provide support and preventi	ion of damage to the pipe.	
92.321(c)	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
eneral Comment:		
he plastic pipe was installed to minimize shear and te	ensile forces.	
92.321	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
eneral Comment:		
racer wire was included with this service line.		
92.325	Are required clearances from underground structures being maintained?	Not Applicable
eneral Comment:		
o other ground structures are in this area.		
s required cover being obtained for the serv	vice line?	Satisfactory
eneral Comment:		
ipe was inspected every few feet for depth of the pipe	9	
92.503	Are general testing requirements being met?	Not Checked
eneral Comment:		
esting was not inspected during this audit.		
92.517	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Not Checked
eneral Comment:	-	
est records for strength and leak test were not done of	during this audit; the pipe was not fully installed.	
92.807	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
eneral Comment:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

AMEREN ILLINOIS COMPANY/8-30-2012

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

192.805(c)	Were non-qualified personnel being "directed and observed" by a qualified individual?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No non-qualified personnel were on	he job.	
192.805(c)	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
No non-qualified personnel were on	he job.	
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) C	completed?	No
General Comment:		
A protocol form was not completed d	uring this audit.	
192.614	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The Julie one call was performed.		
192.614	Dig Ticket #	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
Julie dig ticket number was X002291	254.	
	Is an EFV installed on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence?	Not Applicable
192.383(b)	a single-raining residence:	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.